UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,616	07/10/2006	Dirk Van Aken	PF020153	8494
	7590 01/10/201 d, Patent Operations	EXAMINER		
THOMSON Licensing LLC			TOWFIGHI, AFSHAWN M	
P.O. Box 5312 Princeton, NJ 08543-5312		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2469	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/10/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		AKEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	10/534,616 <b>Examiner</b>	Art Unit
•		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	AFSHAWN TOWFIGHI	th the correspondence address
Period for Reply	appears on the cover since wi	in the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are provided by the office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  Sply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ T  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of t	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the one of t	accepted or b) objected to line drawing(s) be held in abeyan rection is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume  application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a least content of the priority documents.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Ir 6)  Other:	formal Patent Application 

Application/Control Number: 10/534,616 Page 2

Art Unit: 2469

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-8 are pending.

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/26/2010 has been entered.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed with respect to claims 1-8 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Templin does not teach "storing an association of the private IPv4 source address and the interface ID value of the 6to4 source address for opposite address translation of inbound packets returned by the remote host", and that the combination of Templin and Carpenter would not have been obvious because the two systems do not work the same way and are incompatible.

Art Unit: 2469

The examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant's response. Carpenter teaches the translation steps from 6to4 in claim 1 (see citations for claim 1). While Carpenter may not teach using the storing the "source addresses and ID's" for opposite address translation, Templin is used to show that in any system using 6to4 address translation, it would be advantageous to have a reverse (opposite) address translation so that messages can be mapped back from destinations to originating nodes. The combination of the references would suggest the mapping (storing of an association) would map the fields of Carpenter (source addresses and ID's) in a reverse order for translation. The combination is compatible because the examiner uses Templin to show a single "feature" that would be obvious to combine with Carpenter (reverse network translation map). Reverse translation is desirable so that a destination node can easily send back responses and have the responses automatically routed back the correct note. Adding this single feature to Carpenter would make carpenter more efficient and not inoperable. Therefore, the combination of Carpenter and Templin is compatible and does teach the argued limitations.

The examiner would like to note that the applicant has presented arguments that are identical to those previously filed. The examiner invites the applicant's representative to schedule and interview at any time so that the claims and prior art may be discussed to help get a better understanding and help advance prosecution of the case

Application/Control Number: 10/534,616 Page 4

Art Unit: 2469

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over B.

Carpenter, et al., *Connection of IPv6 Domains via IPv4 Clouds* (Network Working

Group) (referred to herein as "Carpenter"), in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication

No. 2001/0040895 to Templin.

- 1. Regarding claim 1, Carpenter teaches a method for supporting a 6to4 tunneling protocol across a network address translation mechanism (See page 1 abstract, L1-3 IPv6 over an IPv4 network using tunnels comprising the steps of :
- receiving from a first host located on a first network an outbound IPv6 packet encapsulated into an IPv4 packet, the IPv4 packet comprising a IPv4 header with a private IPv4 source address of the first host, the outbound IPv6 packet comprising a IPv6 header with a 6to4 source address, the IPv6 header comprising an Interface ID value (See page. 4, paragraph 1.1, lines 3-6; wherein the first network is an IPv6 network and the interface receives IPv6 packets encapsulated by IPv4 packets/headers; page 5, par. 2; wherein the SLA ID is the 6to4 source address, the Interface ID is the Interface ID value and the SLA ID and Interface ID together make up the IPv6 header); translating the private IPv4 source address in the IPv4 header into a public IPv4 source address (See p. 4, par. 1.1, translating the address line 13, and p. 5, par. 2; wherein the

V4ADDR is the private address and the 6to4 address is the public address, the translation), and - transmitting the translated packet over an IPv4 network to a remote host (See p. 6, par. 3, lines 1-2, transmitting to a remote place).

Carpenter does not teach storing an association of the private IPv4 source address and the Interface ID value of the 6to4 source address for opposite address translation of inbound packets returned by the remote host. However, Templin teaches this limitation (See paragraph 255, lines 1-6; wherein mapping, includes storing an association; the actual IPv4 address, is the private IPv4 address, and the identifier, is the ID value).

Combing the features of Templin with the system of Carpenter would have allowed for return communications over a heterogeneous network, thereby allowing devices on an IPv6 network to send packets to, and receive packets from, devices on an IPv4 network. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to combine Templin with Carpenter.

2. Regarding claim 2, Carpenter in view of Templin teach the invention as described in claim 1. Carpenter further teaches receiving an inbound packet over the IPv4 network (See p. 9, lines 1-2, receiving the packet at the 6to4 router as part of the IPv4 network); - determining whether the inbound packet encapsulates an IPv6 packet (See p. 9, lines 2-4, determining the encapsulation must take place for decapsulation to happen); - in the affirmative, retrieving the Interface ID of the encapsulated IPv6 packet's destination address, and using the Interface ID to retrieve the corresponding stored private IPv4 address (See p. 13, par. 5.3, lines 5-8 encapsulating in IPv4 to the

Application/Control Number: 10/534,616

Art Unit: 2469

next site based on the prefix header), and updating the destination address in the IPv4 header accordingly (See p. 13, par. 5.3, lines 9-19, encapsulating in IPv4 to the next site based on the prefix header); - forwarding the modified, encapsulated IPv6 packet on the first network (See p. 14, lines 1-3, forwarding the packet).

Page 6

- 3. Regarding claim 3, Carpenter in view of Templin teach the invention as described in claim 1. Carpenter further teaches changing the private IPv4 address of the 6to4 source address in the IPv6 header of an outbound packet to the public IPv4 address (See p. 8, par. 5.1, lines 10-15, outgoing packets of the 6to4 get 6to4 addreses(public)); and changing the public IPv4 address of the 6to4 destination address of an inbound packet to a corresponding private IPv4 address (See p. 8, par. 5.1, lines 16-21, inbound queries (public) the correct SLA and interface ID is obtained (private)).
- 4. Regarding claim 4, Carpenter in view of Templin teach the invention as described in claim 3. Carpenter further teaches modifying fields at least of the IPv4 header, such as checksums, whose values depend on the 6to4 source address (See p. 7, lines 1-10, modifying the IPv4 header).
- 5. Regarding claim 5, Carpenter in view of Templin teach the invention as described in claim 2. Carpenter further teaches the step of storing the association of the Interface ID and a source address of the encapsulated IPv6 packets of the first network and the step of modifying the destination address of inbound packets or the source address of outbound packets as a function of the Interface ID is carried out by an application level gateway assisting the network address translation mechanism (See p. 9, par. 5.2, line 7

Application/Control Number: 10/534,616

Art Unit: 2469

to p. 10, line 3; wherein the 6to4 router is the gateway that would store the association since it does the translating).

Page 7

- 6. Regarding claim 6, Carpenter in view of Templin teach the invention as described in claim 3. Carpenter further teaches changing the IPv4 part of the 6to4 address are carried out by an application level gateway assisting the network address translation mechanism (See p. 10, lines 2-3; wherein the 6to4 router is the gateway that would store the association since it does the translating).
- 7. Regarding claim 7, Carpenter teaches a device for supporting a 6to4 tunneling protocol across a network address translation mechanism, comprising: a network address translation mechanism for changing the private source address of an outbound IPv4 packet encapsulating an IPv6 packet into a public source address (See p. 4, par. 1.1, lines 3-6 & 13, and p. 5, par. 2; wherein the V4ADDR is the private address and the 6to4 address is the public address). Carpenter does not teach an application for storing the private IPv4 addresses included in the 6to4 source address of a host of the IPv6 network, for outbound packets; and for updating the 6to4 destination address of an inbound packet with a stored private IPv4 address having same Interface ID as the 6to4 destination address. However, Templin teaches this limitation (See par. 251, lines 6-12; wherein the gateway is updated upon every transformation of an interface identifier). Using the features of Templin in the system of Carpenter would have allowed the translation table to keep updated as new interfaces were added to the network. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to combine Templin with Carpenter.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,616 Page 8

Art Unit: 2469

8. Regarding claim 8, Carpenter in view of Templin teach the invention as described in claim 7. Carpenter further teaches the application is further adapted to carry out additional processing of an outbound packet, wherein the additional processing consists in replacing the private IPv4 address part of an 6to4 source address of an outbound packet with the device's public IPv4 address (See p. 8, par. 5.1, lines 10-21).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AFSHAWN TOWFIGHI whose telephone number is (571)270-7296. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, lan Moore can be reached on (571)272-3085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,616

Art Unit: 2469

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 9

/A. T./ Examiner, Art Unit 2469

/lan N. Moore/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2469